



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/682,927	11/01/2001	Joseph Morris	210166US67	3502
22850 7:	7590 06/28/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			ENG, GEORGE	
•	940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	15
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/682,927 MORRIS, JOSEPH Advisory Action Examiner **Art Unit** George Eng 2643 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attachment. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: . . Claim(s) objected to: _____. Claim(s) rejected: _____. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

George Eng Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2643

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Application/Control Number: 09/682,927

Art Unit: 2643

1. Applicant's arguments filed 6/3/2004 (paper no. 13) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Robin fails to show converting number which correspond to inaccessible number to accessible ones, Robin clearly teaches a microprocessor (40, figure 1) to convert the buffered series of digits, i.e., "1" or "011", from a first number, accessible by the first telephone line interface (12, figure 1) but not accessible via the second telephone line interface (14, figure 1), to a unique CIC code, i.e., a second number, accessible via the first and second telephone line interface so that the microprocessor converts the call to an Internet based call by directing an outgoing call to a second telephone line interface (14, figure 1) using the unique CIC code (col. 11 lines 24-52). Note the claimed limitations haven't clearly defined the relationship between the first number and the second number. Thus, the combination of Jones and Robin are enough to reject the broad claimed limitations. As a result, claims 1-15 are rendered obvious by the combination of Jones and Robin.